Effect of Spinal Immobilization with a Long Backboard and Cervical Collar on the Vital Signs
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Abstract

Aim: The aim of this study was to research the effect of a long backboard (LBB) and cervical collar (CC) devices on neck and/or back pain and changes in the vital signs of healthy subjects.

Materials and Methods: This study was conducted in the emergency department of a training and research hospital with 45 healthy adult volunteers. All the volunteers were asked to lie down on the LBB, and a CC was applied. All the vital signs, including respiratory rate (RR), heart rate (HR), oxygen saturation (SO₂), blood pressure (BP) and visual analog scores (VAS) were measured and recorded for all the volunteers at 0, 5, and 30 min.

Results: Significant increases in VAS and significant decreases in systolic BP were detected (p-values were <0.001 and 0.01, respectively). However, in terms of diastolic BP, RR, HR, and SO₂, no significant changes were detected.

Conclusion: Physicians should be aware that spinal immobilization with LBB and CC can cause significant changes in some vital signs, such as SBP and VAS. However, data on this topic is limited; therefore, there is a need for further studies involving a larger cohort population. (Eurasian J Emerg Med 2016; 15: 65-8)
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Introduction

Trauma is the leading cause of death among non-elderly individuals worldwide, and it has a high mortality and morbidity rate in general (1). Some major concerns related to trauma, particularly among emergency physicians, include the failure to manage spinal injuries and shock, especially hemorrhagic shock in multi-trauma patients. Therefore, immobilization of the spine and a close evaluation of the patient’s vital signs during the management of trauma patients are crucial and routinely recommended, especially in blunt trauma patients (2). Close following of the vital signs is recommended by the Advanced Trauma Life Support guidelines for the diagnosis of hemorrhagic shock in the early stages. The vital signs can be affected by several parameters, such as pain, anxiety, medication, and spinal cord injury (2, 3).

Spinal immobilization is achieved using a long backboard (LBB) and a combination of rigid or semi-rigid cervical collar (CC) in most emergency medicine systems worldwide (4, 5). Although LBB and CC are commonly recommended by international guidelines for spinal immobilization of blunt trauma patients, evidence of the effectiveness of these devices is limited (6-8). In addition, several studies have shown that both devices may cause several side effects, such as pain, anxiety, increased intracranial pressure, decreased pulmonary function, and skin ulcers (9-11). However, to the best of our knowledge, the number of studies on the effects of spinal immobilization on vital signs is limited.

In this study, we aimed to research the effect of LBB and CC devices on neck and or back pain and changes in the vital signs of healthy subjects.

Materials and Methods

This study was conducted in the emergency department of a training and research hospital with 45 healthy adult volunteers. This study was approved by the local ethics committee of Keçiören Training and Research Hospital/B.10.4.ISM.4.06.68.49 and conducted over a 2-month period in 2015. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects before procedures were performed.
Volunteer population
Forty-five healthy adult volunteers who had not taken any analgesic drugs within a period of at least 24 h were included in this study; volunteers were between 20 and 30 years of age, and the study included both male and female (non-pregnant) participants. Volunteers with a body mass index of >30 kg/m², those experiencing pain of any kind, and those with medical conditions that prevent the application of LBB or CC were excluded. Before the study was conducted, all the volunteers underwent systemic physical examination. Volunteers who had an abnormal physical examination or vital sign findings were excluded from the study.

Measurements and application of LBB and CC
All the volunteers were asked to sleep for at least 8 h the night before the study and to fast for at least 2 h before the measurements of vital signs were taken. All the measurements were taken between 10 and 11 AM. After a 30-min resting period and a brief physical examination, all the volunteers were asked to lie down on the LBB, and a CC was applied to find the required size according to the volunteers (Figure 1). All the vital signs, including respiratory rate (RR), heart rate (HR), oxygen saturation (SO₂), and blood pressure (BP), were then measured for all the volunteers. All the vital signs, excluding RR, were measured by Nihon Kohden BSM-2301K® on the right upper extremity of the volunteers and RR was measured manually by the physician. In addition, to evaluate pain related to the spinal immobilization, a visual analog score (VAS) was taken. The VAS ranged from 0 to 100, with 0 being “no pain” and 100 being “worst possible pain.” All the measurements were taken at the beginning of the study and repeated during the 5th and 30th minutes of the study. If the patient reported side effects, they were recorded.

Figure 1. Applying a long backboard and cervical collar for spinal immobilization
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Statistical analyses
Statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences 15.0 (SPSS Inc; Chicago, IL, USA). The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used to assess the normal distribution of all the parameters related to the volunteers. Non-parametric data of the volunteers were expressed as the number, percentage, median values, and inter-quartile-range (IQR) (25%–75%). Dependent non-parametric samples were analyzed by using Friedman and Wilcoxon tests. For more two-dependent group comparisons, Bonferroni correction was used. The 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) were also calculated whenever appropriate, and a p-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Of all the volunteers, 24 (53.5%) were male and 21 (46.5%) were female. The median age of the patients was 29 years (IQR: 26–30), the median weight was 70 kg (IQR: 64–79), and the median height was 168 cm (IQR: 164–175). The median systolic BP values of patients at minutes 0, 5, and 30 were 117 mmHg (IQR: 110–131), 110 mmHg (IQR: 105–120), and 110 mmHg (IQR: 104–125), respectively. The median VAS values of patients at minutes 0, 5, and 30 were 0 mm (IQR: 0–0), 0 mm (IQR: 0–20), and 10 mm (IQR: 0–40), respectively. When the findings at minutes 0, 5, and 30 were compared in terms of systolic BP (SBP) and VAS, significant increases in VAS (this significant increasing was detected from minute 0 to minute 5 and from minute 5 to minute 30) and significant decreases in SBP (this significant decrease was detected only from minute 0 to minute 5) were detected, and the p-values of these differences were <0.001 and 0.01, respectively (a new alpha level was calculated as 0.016 after the Bonferroni Correction). However, in terms of diastolic BP, RR, HR, and SO₂, no significant changes were detected. All the findings for the vital signs and VAS are shown in Table 1.

Discussion
In this study, we researched the effect of spinal immobilization through the use of CC and LBB on the vital signs as well as on neck and back pain. To the best of our knowledge, there is only one other study on the direct effect of spinal immobilization on vital signs. We believe that our study yielded two important results. First, spinal immobilization significantly increases neck and back pain, especially 30 min after application. Second, spinal immobilization significantly decreases SBP, and this decrease was detected 5 min after application. We believe that the second result is especially important because emergency physicians usually follow the vital signs (especially blood
pressure) of patients with severe trauma to ensure an early diagnosis of hypovolemic shock. In two previous studies conducted by Guly et al. (12) on testing vital signs to estimate the stage of hypovolemic shock in trauma patients, SBP was reported to be 8–15 mmHg lower in stage 4 shock patients than in stage 1 shock patients and SBP was 4–7 mmHg lower in stage 3 shock patients than in stage 1 shock patients (3). In our study, SBP decreased by nearly 7 mmHg as a result of spinal immobilization. We believe that this decrease could be a confounding factor in the diagnosis and management of hemorrhagic shock in trauma patients. Of course, it is considered that our study utilized a very small and narrow age-limited population; thus, our results may not be enough to support precise judgments. However, we believe that physicians should be aware that spinal immobilization with LBB and CC can cause significant changes in SBP levels, especially in the first 5 min.

Neck and/or back pain is the most commonly reported side effect of spinal immobilization with LBB and CC. Other side effects include anxiety, increased intracranial pressure, decreased pulmonary function, and skin ulcers (13, 14). Pain is not usually limited to regions of contact with LBB; spinal immobilization can also cause cervical spine or lower back pain due to the non-ergonomic position (7). In addition, these pains have been reported to persist for up to 24 h after only 1 h of LBB, and they can provoke unnecessary radiological exams (9, 15). In a previous study, Cordell et al. (16) reported that the use of LBB causes increased pain in the neck and lumbar areas. Another study conducted by Edlich et al. (17) reported that the VAS of volunteers increased nearly six-fold after 30 min of LBB use. We also found that VAS levels increased during the 5th and 30th minutes of LBB use. These two previous studies reported that using a mattress-attached spine board decreased the VAS of volunteers when compared to LBB without the use of a mattress. We believe that using a mattress with LBB can be effective for preventing pain related to LBB, especially if the patients need to lie down on the spine board for an extended period of time.

There have been several studies on the effects of spinal immobilization on pulmonary function, and these studies have shown that forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1) and forced vital capacity (FVC) levels significantly decreased at the 5th and 30th minutes (18-20). In our study, secondary indicators of pulmonary function, breath rate, and oxygen saturation were also assessed, and it was shown that they were not affected by spinal immobilization. However, it should not be overlooked that our study population consisted of healthy volunteers. Therefore, if this study were repeated with patients suffering from pulmonary disease (such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, asthma, or pulmonary contusion), the results might be different.

To the best of our knowledge, our study is only the second study to evaluate changes in vital signs due to spinal immobilization. Although our study identified a significant decrease in SBP as a result of spinal immobilization, in the first study conducted by Brujin et al. (21) (in which 53 healthy subjects were enrolled), the authors reported no significant change in the vital signs, including SBP. Therefore, we believe that there is a need for further studies involving a larger cohort population.

**Study limitations**

Our study has some limitations. First, our study involved a very small and narrow age-limited population. Therefore, our results may not be enough to support precise judgments. Second, we evaluated only healthy subjects. If this study is conducted again with different patient populations such as hemorrhagic shock, hypertension diseases, or elderly patients, these results may be varied. Third, we evaluated only the effect of both LBB and CC; thus, it is not certain which of these caused the change in SBP levels (LBB or CC).

**Conclusion**

Physicians should be aware that spinal immobilization with LBB and CC can cause significant changes in some vital signs, such as SBP and VAS. However, data on this topic are limited; therefore, there is a need for further studies involving a larger cohort population.
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