Spontaneous Esophagus Perforation: A Rare Case
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Abstract

Boerhaave's syndrome (spontaneous esophageal rupture) is a rare entity, which is characterized by a rare and late diagnosis. It is a life-threatening condition and requires early diagnosis and urgent care. The most common etiological factor is emesis. A history of forceful emesis, subxiphoid chest pain, and subcutaneous emphysema is a common triad of symptoms that should suggest spontaneous rupture of the esophagus. However, sometimes confused other diseases that chest pain such as myocardial infarction, aortic aneurysm, pulmonary embolism, pneumothorax. In this report, we aim to present a patient who was diagnosed with the Boerhaave's syndrome. In addition, we will also review the usual presenting signs, symptoms, and treatment of the Boerhaave's syndrome.
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Introduction

Boerhaave's syndrome (spontaneous esophageal rupture) is a rare entity, which is characterized by a rare and late diagnosis. It is commonly misdiagnosed as peptic ulcer perforation, myocardial infarction, pulmonary embolism, aortic aneurysm, and dissection and pancreatitis (1). It is a life-threatening condition and requires early diagnosis and urgent care. Boerhaave's syndrome is characterized by the full layer and vertical tear at the cardioesophageal junction of the esophagus, following heaving and vomiting, and results from the sudden rise in intraluminal pressure in the distal esophagus (2, 3). Here, we presented a patient with Boerhaave's syndrome that is rarely seen and reviewed the diagnostic tools and treatment options in our report.

Case Presentation

A 53-year-old male patient presented to our emergency department with severe chest and abdominal pain radiating to his back, following sudden vomiting after eating a meal. He was quite agitated during the physical examination. His vital signs revealed blood pressure readings of 140/90 mmHg and 130/80 mmHg in the right and left arms, respectively. The other physical parameters were as follows: pulse, 96 beats/min; fever, 37°C; and oxygen saturation, 98%. The patient was conscious, well-oriented, and cooperating. The respiratory and cardiovascular system examinations were normal. Widespread abdominal pain existed, without abdominal guarding and rebound tenderness. Neurological examination was normal. No previous disease or drug history was present.

In his tests, the electrocardiogram showed sinus tachycardia. The leukocyte count was 20000 K/μL, with other cardiac enzymes, D-dimer, and biochemical tests being normal. His chest X-ray showed suspicious air bubbles surrounding the trachea and in the mediastinum (Figure 1). Prediagnoses of aortic dissection and peptic ulcer perforation were suspected, and thoracic and abdominal computed tomography (CT) with IV contrast was performed. Air densities, compatible with the pneumomediastinum seen in the paraesophageal region, with prominence in the paraaortic area were detected in tomography (Figure 2). Esophagography was performed because of the suspicion of esophageal perforation, and it detected a distal end esophageal perforation. The patient was consulted by the thoracic surgeons and was operated. No complication occurred. The patient
was discharged after 10 days with total recovery, following postoperative intensive care and service care (Figure 3).

**Discussion**

Boerhaave’s syndrome was first defined by a Dutch physician, Boerhaave, in 1704. It is five times more common in men than in women. It is most frequently seen after vomiting and heaving etiologically and less frequently seen after stretching, giving birth, severe coughing, blunt trauma, epilepsy, asthma, and difficult swallowing (4).

Because the esophagus does not have a serosa layer, it can be prone to low pressure and rupture compared with the other parts of the gastrointestinal system. The distal diameter of the esophagus may increase to 5 times or more of its original diameter during vomiting. The negative intrathoracic pressure increase during vomiting creates a convenient environment for rupture by making sudden changes in the lumen diameter (4).

The findings in most of these patients are atypical, causing a late diagnosis or misdiagnosis. It is rarely diagnosed in 24 h (5). In our patient, the diagnosis was made in the first 6 h and the patient was operated. This rupture occurs in the distal 1/3rd part of the esophagus and in the left lateral position in 90% of the patients (6). It was the same in our patient. If the lesion includes all the layers of the esophagus, it is called Boerhaave’s syndrome, whereas if it only includes the mucosal layer, it is called the Mallory–Weiss syndrome (7, 8).

The anamnesis, physical examination, and radiological examination are crucial for the diagnosis of esophagus perforation. A delay in the diagnosis and treatment may cause purulent mediastinitis, pleuritis, sepsis, and death. The most important criteria to survive esophageal perforation are early diagnosis and early and appropriate treatment (9). The pain occurring simultaneously with vomiting or just after vomiting is crucial for diagnosis. Epigastric distress, discomfort, and rebound tenderness may be present in the patients. Septic shock generally occurs following the first 12 h (10). X-ray graphs in the diagnosis of esophageal perforations give important clues in 70%–90% of the cases. Findings such as hydrothorax, pneumothorax, hydro-pneumothorax, pneumomediastinum, subcutaneous emphysema, mediastinal expansion, subdiaphragmatic air, foreign body, and retrotracheal expansion may be found (11, 12). Esophageal perforation should be suspected immediately in the presence of a mediastinal emphysema in a chest X-ray. If the rupture cannot be observed with...
the abovementioned radiological methods, a CT with oral contrast solution is performed. The definite diagnosis of the rupture is its endoscopic presentation. With the esophagoscopy, both the level of the rupture and the accompanying diseases are detected as well as the treatment option is chosen (13, 14).

Despite the progress in the intensive care treatments, the esophageal perforations still have a mortality rate of more than 20%. The untreated cases have a mortality rate between 20% and 40%. A close relationship was found between the period of the onset of the symptoms and the initiation of the treatment and the mortality. The mortality is generally observed following mediastinitis, pneumonia, pericarditis, and empyema (6, 15).

Medical or surgical treatments are available. If it is a closed perforation, no mediastinitis is observed, and the patient is stable, medical treatment can be chosen. The medical treatment includes drainage, intravenous antibiotics, nasogastric decompression, and enteral nutrition. If the patient is unstable, a clinically important mediastinitis is present, and there is open perforation, surgical treatment should be chosen (16).

Conclusion

Spontaneous esophageal perforation prognosis differs according to the early diagnosis and appropriate treatment. It should be known that early diagnosis and treatment contributes to a decrease in mortality rates. The emergency physician should think of Boerhaave’s syndrome in the presence of sudden chest pain and subcutaneous emphysema, following heaving and vomiting.
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